



Smart Cities and Political Accountability: Challenges of Data-Driven Governance

Inamdar Tipusultan Alarsaheb^{1*}, Aarif Mohd Waza²

Faculty of Education and Humanities, JSPM University

Corresponding Author: Inamdar Tipusultan Alarsaheb

sultan7inamdar@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Smart Cities, Data-Driven Governance, Political Accountability, Privacy and Security, Citizen Participation

Received: 19, April

Revised: 20, May

Accepted: 30, June

©2025 Alarsaheb, Waza: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



ABSTRACT

The world has witnessed a tremendous shift with regard to the ways in which government has been exercised and that is due to smart cities which rely on digital technologies and data integrated solutions. However, there are several issues that are associated with them in terms of political accountability when it comes to the adoption of such technologies. This paper analyses the adoption of smart cities and the implications of globalization and technological advancement with regards to data, on governance, decision making and processes in the management metropolitan resources. The collection of data from constituents and services and infrastructure and their analysis enables better efficiency in government and urban governance while opening up avenues for data monopolies, data abuse and weaker accountability. The paper highlights salient issues about the privatization of citizens' data & the absence of citizens' supervision & inequitable access to the information as it explores cases of cities that implemented smart technologies solutions. It describes how to use digital governance without violating democracy and how to change governance institutions to increase public confidence in digital technology used in smart city initiatives. The paper also recommends policies that would help improve political accountability in smart cities.

INTRODUCTION

Background of Smart Cities and Technological Advancement

Smart cities are redefining the urban space through technological applications and information-driven strategies for improving residents' living, better resource management, and improved service delivery. The rise of ICT, IoT, and massive data sets has led to the concept of smart cities. Data is anticipated to flow freely between the city's public and private domains within the parameters of this idea. Because of the fast pace of urbanization and the diverse problems witnessed when dealing with densely populated cities, including traffic jams, pollution, poorly equipped infrastructure, and raw materials shortages, smart technology has been adopted more quickly. There is hope that these solutions will promote real-time feedback, prediction, and better decision-making processes, which can be crucial for creating sustainable cities. The smart city encompasses energy-efficient buildings, smart transportation services, remote healthcare, an electronic government system, and citizens' engagement with the government, which more fundamentally changes how the government approaches its people and operates and uses the urban space. It should, however, be noted that the application of such technologies poses certain obstacles, particularly political accountability, privacy of information, and fair access to the benefits that technology brings.

Significance of Political Accountability in Governance

Political accountability is an important part of good governance since it ensures that all public officials and institutions are responsible to the citizens for the decisions made and actions undertaken. In a democratic setting, it safeguards the interests of the citizens, ensuring their willingness to trust their governments, protects democratic practices, and enables the efficient utilisation of resources while formulating decisions. Similarly, in governance, such mechanisms enhance the ability to produce policies and programs that serve the interests and needs of the people. In the era of smart cities, where institutions increasingly depend on data and other private actors, this becomes increasingly important. There is the risk of a lack of transparency in decision making, abuse of public assets, and the exclusion from the smart city strategies of those who are weaker and do not have an equal share in the benefits, without strong accountability frameworks. Political accountability helps to ensure that the deployment of modern technologies and digital governance does not undermine the citizens of the countries and their issues of privacy, security, and inclusivity in the use of information technology. It also creates a legal basis for redress and to hold people in power responsible for the negative impacts or the failure of intended policies.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Research Objectives

1. To analyse the impact of data-driven governance on political accountability in smart cities.
2. To examine gaps in transparency, citizen participation, and data privacy in smart city governance.

3. To propose recommendations for enhancing accountability and trust in smart city initiatives.

METHODOLOGY

Definition and Attributes of Smart Cities

Cities that are smart are defined as metropolitan regions that leverage ICT & big data in all aspects to focus on the effective use of resources, provide better services, and elevate the living standards. It uses connected technologies like large data, IoT, & AI to analyze and manage the infrastructure of urban development in real time. Smart cities are characterized by well-planned housing projects, surveillance and monitoring systems for traffic, road networks, smarter power systems, eHealth solutions, and participatory governance. The deployment of smart technologies makes an attempt to solve issues of rapid growth of urban population, maintaining a green city, and limited available resources, leading to greater urban areas that are sustainable and more integrated.

Data-Driven Governance: Opportunities and Risks

Information-driven governance is the process of using data analytics to make decisions in public administration services more efficient. In smart cities, presents possibilities for improved efficacy while providing predictive resource allocation and evidence-based policy-making opportunities. For example, traffic management services can benefit from the utilization of real-time traffic data, and environmental pollution might be decreased by the use of environmental sensors. But such an approach carries with it a considerable number of dangers, including security issues like data breaches, personal information abuse, and data monopolies. In addition, reliance on algorithms may produce bias or ambiguity in the outcomes of governance. So, choosing how best to combine the use of data for improved efficiency with the necessary legal mechanisms to defend the citizens' rights is one of the most sophisticated issues in data governance.

Political Accountability: Concepts and Theories

Ensuring representatives in government are accountable to the general audience is a must for any democratic regime; this is what political accountability stands for. Political accountability is ensured through mechanisms such as public oversight, effective law enforcement, and transparency, which ensure governance in democracies. Political accountability has many layers to it, such as the principal-agent theory, which stresses that citizen interaction is critical for ensuring governance. Considering that smart cities largely depend on the private sector businesses, along with technology, political governance, and accountability, becomes even more crucial. Such ecosystems require definite regulations, checks, standards, public monitoring, and fast dispute resolution methods to maintain trust along with democratic principles.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Globalization and Technological Advancements in Governance

Globalization has sorely altered urban development patterns. It made it possible for economies, cities, and cultures to become more linked. This linkage has expedited the movement of concepts, commodities, and inventions across the globe, encouraging cities to be innovative if they wish to keep pace with the ever-increasing global population. In tandem, urban centres free from social and economic bureaucracies have been emerging with greater prevalence. Since urbanization is on the rise, crises around managing a city, such as overcrowding, shortage of resources, and pollution, are increasing. The recent crises encourage smarter governance to emerge to ensure that these challenges are managed. AI, IoT, & large data analytics are some of the most recent technologies that are transforming city infrastructures to improve management, service delivery, and sustainability. These technologies provide a fresh way of thinking about governance by enabling governments to gather and analyse real-time data, further allowing them to make optimizations on decision-making and planning of the city. However, the integration of such technologies also poses a host of ethical dilemmas, like safety and privacy of information, as well as human and economic access to the digital world. While these technologies are a stepping stone to making great progress in Urban Governance, the management of a city through them bears its challenges, which could inadvertently amplify existing gaps, and torrents of outrage from citizens pour in due to the violation of their rights.

Challenges of Data-Driven Governance in Smart Cities

1. Data Monopolies and Privatization of Public Data

The emergence of data oligopolies presents one of the primary obstacles to data governance in smart city settings. Within urban areas' ability to obtain a wide range of data, private companies, chiefly tech companies, are coming to be in charge of the acquisition, storage, and analytics of the relevant data. This aggregation of data in the hands of a few private actors has implications for a lack of competitiveness and the use of data for profit motivation, as opposed to societal good. Moreover, the commercialization of public data has resulted in citizens losing control over the use to which the data is put, thus leading to abuse of power where data can be sold to make a profit without the consent of the audience. This eventuality can further lead to social control where information about the user is manipulated for the user's uninformed or uncontrollable purposes.

2. Data Security, Privacy, and Abuse Risks

The important yet intricate personal data that smart cities relay includes oral health, financial history, and geo-location tracking, which poses a risk to data security and privacy. Data-fueled systems have become vulnerable, and the reliance on such systems puts them at the target of cyberattacks, which result in identity fraud and various other means of violation. To add, the abuse of information becomes an issue. There is scope for abuse or discrimination when algorithms make decisions. These systems may enable practices strongly built on bias, which in turn harm classes of people that are already marginalized. Not only is there an absence of safeguards in place citizens are

also unable to comprehend the usage of their data, and the individuals who have unrestricted access to said data pose a great violation to the privacy of people.

3. Lack of Public Supervision and Transparency

The lack of openness in decision-making processes, which are increasingly driven by data technologies, is a major issue in the management of smart cities. Increasingly, as the sophistication of digital systems expands, it becomes more challenging for citizens to appreciate the manner in which decisions are taken or even how their data is put to use in informing those decisions. The opacity of these processes can, however, in a very significant way erode the level of trust the common citizens have in the efficiency of various cities with smartness programs. Equally, without adequate checks and balances, it implies that both the government and private firms could easily engage in manipulating data for political objectives or economic interests with no repercussions. Decision-making is often more inclusive, but the general population is disappointed and feels left out of the governance process more often than not. In the absence of appropriate governance measures, data-driven systems are likely to be further centralized, and this is likely to make the government less attentive to the demands and concerns of citizens.

4. Inequitable Access to Digital Infrastructure

One more problem for good governance in a smart city is the variation in access to the digital infrastructure. The technology gap also implies that many people, more so from poor or rural communities, would be cut off from access to internet-relevant technologies. Even worse, a lack of digital competency puts many people at risk of social and economic marginalization in the context of smart cities. Due to this limited access, such communities might never get integrated into the digital world where virtual education, online health care services, and e-government platforms are being promoted as part of smart cities. Otherwise, it is hard to imagine an all-inclusive smart city if citizens do not get similar opportunities to the technologies and other advantages that tech-savvy cities' initiatives present.

Case Studies of Smart City Initiatives

1. Success Stories: Examples of Efficient Data-Driven Governance

Without a doubt, this technology-oriented management can transform the way cities are governed, which is well depicted by the case of Singapore. The Smart Nation initiative focuses on smart urban governance and has been implemented in Singapore, where technology is used to manage urban areas. Moreover, the application of indicators & information statistics has improved traffic & public safety management as well as assisted with waste management, solving issues in city services. More importantly, real-time collection of data is now possible, enabling fast decision-making and better resource allocation. It is worth noting that open data policies go a step further and facilitate the public with the means to innovate, which also translates to greater trust in the government. Kitty-corner to such endeavours lies the Barcelona smart city case, where, combined with other measures, a city-wide deployment of smart street lighting, energy management systems, and sensors for traffic and air quality

monitoring has occurred. All in all, these new technologies led to a more economical and environmentally friendly approach to running the city that also improved residents' lives. In contrast, the failure of one of the biggest problems was a surprise, as Barcelona is now often cited as a success in how the application of data-driven governance structures can be utilized in the further development of the city and the services provided to its inhabitants.

2. Challenges Faced: Failures in Maintaining Political Accountability

In spite of these achievements, smart city projects have faced dire difficulties, notably in the area of political accountability. A good example would be San Diego, USA, where a public, privately-owned joint venture for data collection and analysis raised concerns regarding surveillance and data privacy. The scheme included the use of sensors as a way of monitoring public places; however, what sparked public outcry was the ambiguity as to how the data collected would be employed. The public in general was concerned that there was scope for their sensitive information to be used without seeking their permission, and the effect of not knowing what the clear guidelines for responsibility were only compounded their feelings. The same situation was observed with the "Sidewalk Labs" project in Toronto, which, intended to build an urban tech hub, did – but rather it was because of the threat of data commercialization and lack of sufficient public control, both in Canada and abroad. Most of the concerns raised by the opposition were tackled during the committee hearings; however, the community's interests, especially within the area that was being developed, were not given full consideration due to strong corporate influence by Alphabet, Google's parent company. The un-delegation of proper governance and oversight provisions combined with the anticipated beefing of the corporate stagnant the undertaking, which made clear the requirements of preserving political accountability and transparency in smart city undertakings.

3. Lessons Learned and Best Practices

The examination of the experiences from successful and failed smart city initiatives significantly contributes to improving the practices of governance, which relies heavily on data work while maintaining political accountability. One of the critical factors is the need for transparency in all the processes, including the collection of information & processes of making decisions. Governments have a responsibility to guarantee their citizens access to information on the process of conducting data analysis and its use. As noted, public consultation and models of participatory governance must be employed to ensure that the cities with smart solutions being developed are what the citizens need and endorse. Also, privacy rights and the safety of the data must be in place to protect an individual's data. Governance frameworks that offer competent administration of governance programs and explicitly delineate the roles of both the private and public industries in efforts to protect society from excessive commercial intervention are equally crucial. Several best practices were adopted in the city of Barcelona, including the development of an open data system and the promotion of a joint work of the government, business, and civil society. Last, but not least, closing the digital gap by providing equal

access to all citizens to the relevant technology is necessary in order to make the smart city project available and workable for every resident. Making sure that these initiatives are available and are beneficial to all social groups, particularly to underprivileged ones, would avert social exclusion and improve the entire glamour of the smart city projects.

Impact of Data-Driven Governance on Democracy

Implementing data governance in smart cities poses some challenges to democratic values. For example, the enhancement of torture instruments can be caused by spying, which leads to freedom destruction if data is imposed over the redemption of people. Moreover, the consolidation of information by the state and big business might result in the distortion of data, which may severely interfere with democratic processes. There should be a balance between citizens' trust in the governance and data-driven governance so that those in power do not feel marginalized. For citizens to place their trust in the government, there has to be engagement from the people when making decisions, and there has to be a say over how data is used. Data transparency should also be maintained, as well as making sure there are the right protocols in place for data privacy. Building trust by engaging citizens and being transparent is an intrinsic value of democracy in the smart city strategy. If citizens are properly educated on these aspects and are involved in governance, they are more willing to support such systems in place, which would provide better governance.

Policy Recommendations for Enhancing Political Accountability

1. Regulatory Framework for Data Governance

Truthful data governance becomes an essential aspect for government accountability in smart cities; however, it will involve the creation of a robust regulatory structure. This structure would set concrete restrictions on the acquisition, retention, and utilization of publicly available information so that it benefits citizens and there is no abuse for private profit. States must adopt legislation that encourages openness, orders data dissemination, and makes both the private and the public sectors responsible for confidentiality violations. Moreover, such a framework must include auditing mechanisms that will check the use of data to prevent ethical breaches and protect citizens' rights.

2. Public Supervision and Citizen Involvement Mechanisms

Additionally, it is important to include the establishment of strong mechanisms for public monitoring and citizen participation as another recommendation. The management of the smart cities must be made accessible for people to observe, so as to enhance responsibility. Citizens must have opportunities to get involved in the decision processes, raise issues, and have a substantive influence on the policies enhancing wise city initiatives. In that line of thought, public consultation, town hall sessions, and online reviewing tools can enable residents to participate meaningfully in the provisions of their cities so that the governance meets their expectations and ideals.

3. Providing Fair Navigation to Smart City Infrastructure

Ensuring equitable access to the technologies that facilitate smart cities is important if the objective is to prevent the introduction of digital inequities.

Such fairness can be achieved through the introduction of policies that provide cheap access to the relevant digital infrastructure, like high-speed internet or smart devices, to the relatively disadvantaged segments of society. Policies must aim to include the outliers and underprivileged sections in not only the technology but also its associated welfare. This is not only a matter of equity but also results in more progressive governance as everyone gets free participation in and satisfaction from smart city engagements.

4. Strengthening Legal Protections for Data Privacy and Security

Bolstering legal structures concerning data privacy and security is essential in fostering confidence in the governance model of smart cities. The state must enact comprehensive legislation that outlaws the misuse, unauthorized access, or theft of private information of the residents. Such legislation must impose significant fines against offenders and allow citizens to be the masters of their data. Moreover, all smart city projects must ensure that the systems are designed with advanced hardware and software technologies to analyse data and thwart cyber-attacks aimed at the systems. Through proper legal frameworks and assistance, governments guarantee that data-enabled processes will work in a way that honours citizens' privacy but boosts their faith in the system.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The article focused on smart cities, data use in governance, and political accountability. It highlighted the key risks and opportunities associated with them. Some of the obstacles identified include control of data by a few companies, data privacy, lack of accountability, and lack of equality in digital access. The results suggest that the development and application of technologies will constantly endanger the principles of democracy, rights, and freedoms of citizens and social justice. The data does, however, also show that privately run smart city technologies can aid in improving urban community governance and population service delivery, but only when used in conjunction with transparent political processes, citizen participation, and laws that uphold data privacy and promote equity. There have to be models that are more democratic and inclusive, free from data, and the country's efforts are distributed among a larger mass. Moving on from the suggestions, this paper encourages using further research to explore economic democracy through political engagement and equity, including diverse intersections across the globe, using the global context of smart city technology.

FURTHER STUDY

This research still has limitations, so further research is still needed on this topic, "Smart Cities and Political Accountability: Challenges of Data-Driven Governance".

REFERENCES

Albino, V., Berardi, U., & Dangelico, R. M. (2015). Smart Cities: Definitions, Dimensions, Performance, and Initiatives. *Journal of Urban Technology*, 22(1), 3-21. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10630732.2014.942092>

- Batty, M. (2013). *The New Science of Cities*. MIT Press.
- Bibri, S. E. (2018). *Smart Sustainable Cities of the Future: The Untapped Potential of Big Data Analytics and Context-Aware Computing for Advancing Sustainability*. Springer.
- Calzada, I., & Cobo, C. (2015). Unplugging: Deconstructing the Smart City. *Journal of Urban Technology*, 22(1), 23–43. Cardullo, P., Di Feliciano, C., & Kitchin, R. (2019). *The Right to the Smart City*. Emerald Publishing.
- Coletta, C., Evans, L., Heaphy, L., & Kitchin, R. (Eds.). (2018). *Creating Smart Cities*. Routledge.
- Graham, S. (2011). *Cities Under Siege: The New Military Urbanism*. Verso.
- Green, B. (2019). *The Smart Enough City: Putting Technology in Its Place to Reclaim Our Urban Future*. MIT Press.
- Greenfield, A. (2013). *Against the Smart City*. Do Projects.
- Hacker, K. L., & Dijk, J. V. (2000). *Digital democracy: Issues of theory and practice*. SAGE Publications.
- Hollands, R. G. (2015). Critical interventions into the corporate smart city. *Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society*, 8(1), 61–77. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cjres/rsu011>
- Karvonen, A., Cugurullo, F., & Caprotti, F. (Eds.). (2019). *Inside Smart Cities: Place, Politics and Urban Innovation*. Routledge.
- Kitchin, R. (2014). *The Data Revolution: Big Data, Open Data, Data Infrastructures and Their Consequences*. SAGE Publications.
- Kitchin, R., & Dodge, M. (2011). *Code/Space: Software and Everyday Life*. MIT Press.
- Komninos, N. (2015). *The Age of Intelligent Cities: Smart Environments and Innovation-for-all Strategies*. Routledge.
- Mattern, S. (2021). *A City Is Not a computer: Other Urban Intelligences*. Princeton University Press.
- McCullough, M. (2013). *Ambient Commons: Attention in the Age of Embodied Information*. MIT Press.

Shelton, T., Zook, M., & Wiig, A. (2015). *The “Actually Existing Smart City”*. Cambridge University Press.

Townsend, A. M. (2013). *Smart Cities: Big Data, Civic Hackers, and the Quest for a New Utopia*. W. W. Norton & Company.

Willis, K. S. (2015). *Netspaces: Space and Place in a Networked World*. Routledge.