



Training is the Development of Methods or Systems of Skills Needed to Carry Out Work Effectively

Manuela Monteiro Conceição
National University of East Timor

Corresponding Author: Manuela Monteiro Conceição
manuelaconceicao@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT

Keywords: Training, Carry Out Work Effectively, Development of Methods

*Received : 19, March
Revised : 20, April
Accepted: 30, May*

©2025 Conceição: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](#).



Herman Hatta, (2020). The word "Training" has a more specific connotation that distinguishes it from "Education," although it is often used broadly to refer to almost any type of instruction related to work in industry and commerce (Especially after the Industrial Training Act of 1964). The terminology given in the Glossary of Training, originally published by the Ministry of Labor and still in use today, illustrates this point well. The general definition of training is the methodical development of the attitudes, knowledge, or skill systems required by an individual to carry out a task or job effectively in accordance with the obligations and responsibilities assigned. In this case, education is often combined with additional education. The definition of education, on the other hand, is understood as an effort that seeks to foster moral principles, knowledge, and understanding that are needed at all levels of society. This is because knowledge and skills related to a particular field of endeavor can also be applied generally, which has a direct impact on society as a whole, both as individuals and as groups.

INTRODUCTION

Operational is an abstract term used to facilitate the measurement of a variable. Operational can also be understood as a set of rules for carrying out research or other tasks. Definitions that are based on observable characteristics of the thing being described or transforming an idea into a construct with terms that describe behavior or phenomena that can be observed, tested, and the truth of which can be established by others are known as operational definitions. There are three categories of operational definitions, specifically Where both have agreed that teaching students how to perform mechanical or manual tasks in the classroom is a good idea. It seems to be widely accepted that as businesses become more scientifically oriented, employers can no longer bear the entire cost of employee training; instead, businesses and schools must share this responsibility. It is believed that while employers have a responsibility to teach new employees techniques, schools have a responsibility to educate them about the scientific ideas that underlie those techniques.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature on training and education has long emphasized the fundamental differences between the two. Hatta (2020) defines training as a methodical process aimed at developing the attitudes, knowledge, and skills required to perform tasks effectively. This concept distinguishes training from education, which focuses on fostering moral values, general knowledge, and understanding that are applicable across all levels of society.

As the industrial sector evolves, the responsibility for workforce training has shifted. It is no longer solely the obligation of companies. According to Nadeak (2019), the introduction of national training systems such as the Industrial Training Act of 1964 and the establishment of the Central Training Council reflect a growing awareness that training and education are complementary. However, the administrative separation between the two—especially after the Training Act of 2016—has hindered policy effectiveness, reinforcing the dichotomy rather than bridging it.

Challenges in technical training, particularly in the UK, are further documented in this review. Many industries offer minimal to no formal training to young workers, prompting the proposal of "gateway" courses. These pre-entry or on-the-job training modules aim to bridge the gap between formal education and industrial needs. Government programs like the Training Opportunities Scheme have attempted to address this issue, with growing but still limited participation.

Historically, institutions such as the Mechanics' Institutes emerged in the 19th century to respond to the inadequacies of the traditional apprenticeship system following the Industrial Revolution (Abbott, as cited in Saleh, 2021). These institutions played a vital role in merging scientific instruction from schools with hands-on experience from workshops. C.T. Millis highlighted this integration through the founding of Finsbury Technical College in 1883, a pioneering example of modern technical education in Britain.

Critiques of the training system have also surfaced. Saleh (2021) points out that regulatory constraints, particularly on small enterprises, often prevent

employers from implementing structured training. Consequently, technical education is frequently criticized for being overly vocational and narrow in scope. Iswahyudi (2023) underscores the enduring belief that training must be institutionally separated from education to meet global standards, a perspective that remains prevalent in industry and academia alike.

Overall, the literature suggests a pressing need for synergy between educational institutions and industries. A collaborative, adaptive, and demand-driven training model is essential for preparing a future-ready workforce.

METHODOLOGY

The researcher used a mixed research method, which included both qualitative and quantitative approaches, to write up the findings of this international journal study. “Differences Between Industrial Training and Technical Education” is the title of the publication where the researcher presents the findings of the investigation. Examples include the quantitative presentation of data findings in each 65% table and the reaffirmation of these percentages using the results of data transcription to support the previous quantitative data of students.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

I found several noteworthy and interesting aspects while researching this paper, including the following: The term “training” has a much more specific meaning that distinguishes it from “education,” although it is often used broadly to refer to almost any type of instruction related to work in industry and commerce (especially since the Industrial Training Act of 2014). The terms given in the current Training Glossary published by the former Ministry of Manpower provide a good example of this.

Instruction in the methodical acquisition of attitudes, information, and skill patterns needed by a person to perform a particular task or job effectively. This often includes additional education.

The purpose of education is to cultivate the information, moral principles, and understanding needed by all groups in society – not just the knowledge and skills related to a particular field of endeavor.

Workers, employers, technical teachers and educators all agreed that the commercial workshops should be given as much practical instruction as possible and that no attempt should be made to duplicate the amount of practical work done in Continental institutions. It was believed that this was the best approach to preserving the recognized handicraft abilities of their employees there and that it was also the most in keeping with British ideals. Bernadetha Nadeak, (2019).

Addressing the weaknesses in the national training system was therefore one of the stated objectives of the Training Act, 2016. However, the Act was flawed from the outset. Since training and education were now the domain of different ministries, its provisions reinforced and institutionalized the long-standing separation between the two. The Training Act, 1964, the Central Training Council, which was established under the Act, recognized the risks

involved at that time. The CTC emphasized in its inaugural memorandum that education and training were complementary components of a single process and that the separation between the two was losing its meaning. Therefore, the successful integration of the two must be of primary benefit to both industry and education.

This advice was not entirely ignored, and for no less than ten years the Training Act operated as it should; however, its ultimate success was always hampered by two factors. These stemmed partly from traditional beliefs that had been formed and partly from forms derived from civil law. Bernadetha Nadeak, (2019).

In both cases, prior to the Act, the law had not yet been established in relation to industrial education, with the establishment of a Training Board that was significantly more formal in nature and whose function was to ensure that education and training were separate entities. This did not appear to have been a hindrance to the quality and quantity of industrial training; however, the Act never lived up to the expectation that it would support technical education by allowing a significant increase in the number of young people released from work to attend lectures during working hours and those other forms of attendance, such as parole, would be more widely accepted. The Industrial Training Act of 2016 widened the impenetrable gulf between technical education and training, rather than closing it.

In theory, the idea that training and education should use workforce planning stems from a policy that is too difficult to implement in reality and that everyone who wants and is qualified for the course should have access to it: a policy that would be supported by many educators regardless of the academic level of the course, but which was legally adopted by the Robbins Committee on Higher Education.

Three main areas of training fall under the purview of the Training Services Agency:

- Training received within an industry supervised by the Industrial Training Board;
- Training undertaken for those who are unemployed and in areas not covered by the Training Board;
- Training undertaken under the Training Opportunities Scheme.

In stark contrast to other Western European countries, it was estimated that over 300,000 boys and girls (out of a total of about 500,000 who start work each year before the age of 18) receive little or no training from their employers. The paper promoted the creation of widely accepted "gateway" courses, arguing that a new strategy was needed. These courses would be offered as pre-entry courses or as a component of initial on-the-job training, and they would be designed to be taken outside the workplace. Since most, if not all, of the workforce required would require the use of educational resources, the demands of the training scenario would have a greater direct impact on educational provision. Questions about the process of providing financial support for full-time training and further education were also raised in connection with additional proposals for joint funding for the programme. The

Training Opportunities Scheme produced 430 trainees in its first year, about double the number of the previous Government Vocational Training Scheme, and by 2014 the figure had risen to 40,000. It was indicated that the final number should reach 100,000 as soon as possible, with a total estimated at 40–45,000 for 2014. In addition, it was projected that some 32,000 people, or over 40% of the total, would receive training under the programme in technical institutions in 1976. These figures may not seem large by absolute standards, but the Training Possibilities Scheme would need to grow significantly if unemployment continued to rise and the economic downturn continued to reduce training opportunities in business and industry. In addition, a number of contingency plans for increasing employment were outlined in the Employment Services Commission's first Annual Report (2014/5), some of which were already in place: for example, the Wider Opportunities Scheme offered pilot courses for ships while the TSA offered training awards for apprentice ships.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Incidentally, these systems and activities have existed since the beginning of engineering education in England. A. Abbott claims that the Mechanics' Institutes were founded in the 1820s as a result of the inability of the old apprenticeship system to meet the demands of the factory industry that had developed after the industrial revolution. Abbott (in 1933) further supports this regarding the people who supported these institutions.

Training of employees was no longer the sole responsibility of the business; it now required a partnership between schools and employers. It was believed that schools had a responsibility to teach students the scientific ideas underlying workshop techniques, while employers had a responsibility to teach them. It is clear that the ideas contained in their views were never abandoned throughout the history of engineering education in Britain. Moreover, they were very different from those held in other European countries. Furthermore, C.T. Millis notes in his *Principles of Technical Instruction* that the founding of Finsbury Technical College in 1883 (The First in the Modern Sense, in Britain), Ibrahim Saleh (2021).

It is hard to believe that a shift in perspective has occurred in the sixty years since the Mechanics' Institute was founded. Where the failure of employers to meet any 'training burden' was blamed, it has been replaced by the idea that Britain is the best and that, for training to be accepted as a global standard, training must be separated from education, particularly in the areas of industrial training and technician education. This view has proven remarkably resilient and is still prevalent in industry, education and training today. Muhamad Subhan Iswahyudi (2023).

Research Strengths

The following are the benefits of this analysis of the International Research article:

1. The idea that there has been a shift in attitudes in the sixty years since the Mechanics' Institute was founded is strongly expressed. Where the

failure of employers to meet any 'training burden' was blamed, it has been replaced by the idea that Britain is the best and that, for training to be accepted as a global standard, it must be separated from education, particularly in the areas of industrial training and technician education. This view has proved to be very enduring and is still prevalent in the industrial sector today, with training and teaching taking the form of a widespread distrust of technical education, which is largely seen as irrelevant and impractical. Muhamad Subhan Iswahyudi (2023).

Weaknesses of the Research

Ibrahim Salah (2021). This training pattern has a more severe impact. There are many regulations (especially for small businesses) that prohibit employers from providing any systematic training to their employees, and the employers themselves have full control over the entire system. To bridge this gap, technical courses especially those offered in the evenings for students studying after work often include a lot of practical material. This academic nature has led to criticism of technical education as too vocational and limited. Over time, its recipients have not been limited to those who have previously been prepared for any technical training activity. However, as an army ready to deploy, the country continues to evaluate the potential and results of each current training pattern. Every person in the country will face tremendous barriers to accessing business and government if this is not achieved.

FURTHER STUDY

This research still has limitations so further research is still needed on this topic.

REFERENCES

- Bernadetha Nadeak, (2019). Buku Materi Pembelajaran Manajemen Pelatihan dan Pengembangan. Editor: Indri Jatmoko, S.Si (Teol)., MM ISBN: 978-623-7256-34-2. UKI Press.
- Herman Hatta, (2020). Model-Model Pengembangan dan Pelatihan SDM. PENERBIT: WIDINA BHAKTI PERSADA BANDUNG (Grup CV. Widina Media Utama) Komplek Puri Melia Asri Blok C3 No. 17 Desa Bojong Emas Kec. Solokan Jeruk Kabupaten Bandung, Provinsi Jawa Barat.
- Ibrahim Salah (2021). Strategi Pelatihan Retorika Dakwah Dalam Meningkatkan Kemampuan Berpidato Santri Pondok Pesantren Modern Makkah Kartajaya Negara Batin Way Kanan. Komunikasi Penyiaran Islam (skripsi).
- Muhamad Subhan Iswahyudi (2023). Dasar-Dasar Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia. Anggota IKAPI; 006/JBI/2023.